01		
02		
03		
04		
05		
06		
07	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
08		
09	FRED A. STEPHENS,	)
10	Plaintiff,	) CASE NO. C12-1067-RAJ-MAT ) ) ) ) ) ORDER RE: REQUEST FOR ) APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL AND
11	v.	
12	SGT. FREDRICKSON, et al.,	
13	Defendants.	) MOTION TO AMEND )
14		
15	Plaintiff Fred A. Stephens proceeds pro se in this civil rights matter pursuant to 42	
16	U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff seeks the appointment of counsel and leave to submit a second	
17	amended complaint. (Dkts. 25, 28 & 29.) Respondent submitted an objection to the request	
18	for appointment of counsel and to the request to amend as set forth in the first motion to amend	
19	filed by plaintiff. (Dkt. 26.) The Court has not yet received a response to the second motion	
20	filed by plaintiff seeking an amendment (Dkt. 29), but that motion was not correctly noted	
21	under the local rules. Now, having considered the pending motions, the Court does hereby	
22	find and ORDER as follows:	
	ORDER PAGE -1	

(1) There is no right to have counsel appointed in cases brought under § 1983 or in a general civil case. Although the Court, under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1), can request counsel to represent a party proceeding *in forma pauperis* (IFP), plaintiff is no longer proceeding IFP in this matter and, even if he were, he has not shown exceptional circumstances warranting the appointment of counsel. *Wilborn v. Escalderon*, 789 F.2d 1328, 1331 (9th Cir. 1986) (a finding of exceptional circumstances requires an evaluation of both the likelihood of success on the merits and the ability of the individual to articulate his claims *pro se* in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved). The Court, in particular, notes that plaintiff has not demonstrated an inability to articulate his claims *pro se* in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. *Id.* Accordingly, plaintiff's request for the appointment of counsel (Dkt. 25) is DENIED.

- (2) Plaintiff seeks to amend his complaint to add one or more new defendants and a claim for declaratory and injunctive relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2201. However, plaintiff failed to submit a proposed second amended complaint. Any motion to amend not accompanied by a proposed amended complaint is procedurally deficient and will not be considered. Accordingly, plaintiff's motions seeking joinder and the filing of a second amended complaint (Dkts. 25 & 29) are hereby STRICKEN from the docket. If plaintiff wishes to pursue amendment of his complaint, he must submit a new motion to amend together with a proposed second amended complaint which sets forth each claim plaintiff wishes to pursue against each named defendant.
- (3) Plaintiff is reminded that, pursuant to Local Civil Rule 7(d)(2), all motions filed in a case in which a party is under civil or criminal confinement must be noted in accordance

ORDER PAGE -2

with Rule 7(d)(1) or 7(d)(3), the latter of which requires a noting date no earlier than the third Friday after filing. As such, any motion to amend filed by plaintiff must be noted no earlier than the third Friday after filing. The Clerk shall direct copies of this Order to the parties and to the Honorable (4) Richard A. Jones. DATED this 16th day of October, 2012. Mary Alice Theiler United States Magistrate Judge **ORDER** PAGE -3